UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,281	07/17/2006	Seiichiro Yamamoto	47233-5002-00 (216113)	1577
	7590 09/23/201 <sup>.</sup> DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		COLEMAN, RYAN L		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBRIPDocket@dbr.com penelope.mongelluzzo@dbr.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
YAMAMOTO ET AL.		
Art Unit		
1714		
	YAMAMOTO ET AL	

	RYAN COLEMAN	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice replies: (1) an amendment, affideal (with appeal fee) in complian	of Appeal. To avoid abal avit, or other evidence, v ce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	iling date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a)  They raise new issues that would require further cor (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see N w);	IOTE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 2.		will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-6 and 11-22</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	oeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims afte	entry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered buseless</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
/Michael Kornakov/		/RLC/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1714		Ryan L. Coleman Patent Examiner, Art l	Jnit 1714

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment introduces new limitations, which have not been previously presented, thus changing the scope of claims, which requires an additional consideration and possible search.

Furthermore, specification as originally filed supports the concept of discharging "most of" the solid matter from the container, but the specification as originally filed does not apparently support the concept of discharging "substantially all" of the solid matter from the container. The language specifying that "substantially all" of the solid matter is discharged is considered to be more limiting than the oringinal specification's teaching that "most of" the solid matter is discharged, and therefore, that proposed amendment to claim 1 is considered to introduce new matter.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are based at least in part on amendments that have not been entered. .